

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignus 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/975,683	10/10/2001	Tomohiro Hayashi	10873.814US01	9431
75	90 07/29/2003			
Merchant & Gould P.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			BERCK, KENNETH A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/975,683	HAYASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ken A Berck	2879			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period fo	• •					
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Issons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the main displacement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum o iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) atute, cause the application to becom	by a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BY ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
2a)□		This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
	on Papers	·				
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
ר 🔲 (10	^r he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in al	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a I 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •				
Attachment	(s)					
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Tre	demark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/975,683

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a paint, classified in class 524, subclass 832.
- Claims 10-14, drawn to a method of manufacturing, classified in class 445, subclass 24.
- III. Claims 15-18, drawn to a plasma display panel, classified in class 313, subclass 586.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the insulative layer could be formed by sputtering and could be formed from a semiconductor.

Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an insulating film and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the

Application/Control Number: 09/975,683

Art Unit: 2879

species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the insulative layer could be formed by sputtering and could be formed from a semiconductor.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, the search required for Group I is not required for Group III and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, the search required for Group II is not required for Group III and have acquired a separate status in

the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Douglas Mueller on 2/7/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2879

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken A Berck whose telephone number is (703)305-7984. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (703)305-4794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7382 for regular communications and (703)308-7382 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

kab (1/2) July 28, 2003 Joseph Williams Joseph Williams